

Message

From: Davies, Lauris [davies.lauris@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/11/2020 11:06:27 PM
To: Davies, Lauris [Davies.Lauris@epa.gov]; Opalski, Dan [Opalski.Dan@epa.gov]
Subject: Conversation with Davies, Lauris

Davies, Lauris 3:36 PM:

i saw you were in looking at the LUB letter. I'm getting ready to send to Chris - did you have any edits/thoughts?

Opalski, Dan 3:37 PM:

Well, yes, as a matter of fact, I do.

Davies, Lauris 3:37 PM:

oh, don't make me sorry I asked!! :)

Opalski, Dan 3:37 PM:

I did not come up with language to suggest yet, which is why I was a little hesitant.

Opalski, Dan 3:38 PM:

My impression is that Susan [Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)]

[Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)] .

Opalski, Dan 3:39 PM:

We get to most of that content in the letter, but I don't get [Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)] .

Opalski, Dan 3:39 PM:

I meant we get to it eventually.

Davies, Lauris 3:40 PM:

definitely possible, but don't want to signal that we're done with the petition when we're not.

Opalski, Dan 3:40 PM:

agreed

Davies, Lauris 3:41 PM:

Maybe we insert a sentence of some kind after the first sentence.

Davies, Lauris 3:42 PM:

well, in rereading -that would be awkward so cancel that thought. honestly we get to it in paragraph 2, so I think we should send it back and see what we get back from all of these high level reviewers.

Opalski, Dan 3:43 PM:

Thinking along the lines of [Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)]

[Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)]

[Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)]

Davies, Lauris 3:43 PM:

[Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)]

Davies, Lauris 3:43 PM:

hmmmm....thinking

Opalski, Dan 3:44 PM:

It would allow us to still lead into our "but".

Davies, Lauris 3:44 PM:

yeah, that could work. let me play with it a bit.

Opalski, Dan 3:48 PM:

As I shared with Mat, there seemed to be so much emotion wrapped up in the apparent "process foul" that I am not sure how strongly she will hold on to the content concerns. I do think that what we were hearing did not reflect careful consideration with respect to a potential appearance that we might not be taking this review seriously enough.

Davies, Lauris 3:52 PM:

Carol had good feedback during our hotwash - both along the lines above, but also that one or two other SDWA 1431 "surprises" in other areas of the US have created the climate we experienced. Not sure she'll get over it, but we need to put down what is true, and then see where it goes. Here is the new paragraph:

Davies, Lauris 3:52 PM:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

[1] The term "private wells" as used in this document refers to wells that are not "public water systems" within the meaning of the Safe Drinking Water Act because they serve fewer than 25 people. See SDWA Section 1401(4).

Opalski, Dan 3:54 PM:

I think that reads reasonably well. Worth a shot. Thanks for checking in.

Davies, Lauris 3:54 PM:

of course. ok, this mole is whacked (or soon will be)